

United States Environmental Protection Agency
Region II
POLLUTION REPORT

Date: Friday, May 06, 2005

From: Dilshad Perera

To: James Daloia, USEPA, Region 2, ERRD-RPB Ray Basso, USEPA Michael Mintzer, EPA Paul John, NYSDEC John Ward, Supervisor, Town of Wallkill	Eric Mosher, USEPA , Region 2, ERRD-RPB Paul Simon, USEPA, Region 2 ORC-NYCSFB Bill McCabe, ERRD John O'Mara, NYSDEC
---	---

Subject:
Westwood Chemical
46 Tower Road, Middletown, NY
Latitude: 41.4687500
Longitude: -74.3772800

POLREP No.:	3	Site #:	WN
Reporting Period:		D.O. #:	13
Start Date:	3/3/2005	Response Authority:	CERCLA
Mob Date:	3/8/2005	Response Type:	Time-Critical
Demob Date:		NPL Status:	Non NPL
Completion Date:		Incident Category:	Removal Action
CERCLIS ID #:		Contract #	EP-W-04-055
RCRIS ID #:	NYD072715052		

Site Description

See [PolRep No.1](#)

Current Activities

April 16th through May 6th

During this reporting period, 26 large bulk containers were sampled. Due to the limited space between the top of some of the [tanks and the ceiling](#), the crew was unable to collect a representative sample form the top of the tank. In other cases, there was not a convenient opening on the top of the

tanks to allow for sampling. Furthermore, there are no catwalks associated with any of the large bulk storage containers, hence a [small opening was cut](#) above the product layer to make it easier and safer to collect a representative sample of the contents.

During this reporting period, 55 samples were shipped for laboratory analyses. Approximately half the samples in addition to disposal analyses will also be analyzed for CERCLA parameters.

On April 26th, 2005, crew began the process of [segregating the lab chemicals](#) in the three on-site laboratories; Quality Control Laboratory, General Laboratory and R&D Laboratory. The intent is to dedicate each of the three labs to handle solid samples, liquid samples and laboratory reagents for further labpacking operations.

On April 26th, 2005, the crew noticed a slow drip emanating from the [bottom hatch of EPA designated Tank 19](#). This is the same tank that developed a leak from an elbow joint on March 15th, 2005. There are supposed to be 21 bolts securing the hatch; [5 of the bolts were missing](#) and a few others were quite rusty. The crew replaced the missing and rusty nuts and bolts and tightened all remaining nuts and bolts. The drip has been secured. The released material was contained within the secondary containment area.

The [transfer of tote contents](#) has been completed, accounting for approximately 110,000 gallons. Many of the transferred totes in addition to liquidlayer had a solid or semi-solid layer, these totes have been set aside for future consolidation. The transferred contents are housed in 5 [Frac Tanks](#) and three on site tanks. Crew initiated PVC process lines identification and cutting operations.

On May 4th, 2005, a meeting was held on site with the trustee, the Chief Financial Officer of Westwood Chemical Corp. at the time of the Chapter 7 filing and Region II's Office of Regional Counsel. The meeting was to discuss potential resale value in some of the chemicals on site; EPA's proposed actions; and for the trustee to evaluate the quality of the property for future

auction.

Next Steps

- The continued identification and cutting of PVC process lines.
- The transport and disposal of wastewater transferred into on-site large bulk containers and baker tanks.
- Lab packing of small containers. The number of cleanup personnel will be increased to accommodate the lab-packing operation.
- Disposal of debris such as the cut PVC process lines
- Shipping of empty totes for recycling

Estimated Costs *

	Budgeted	Total To Date	Remaining	% Remaining
Extramural Costs				
ERRS - Cleanup Contractor	\$700,000.00	\$352,000.00	\$348,000.00	49.71%
USCG	\$40,000.00	\$13,000.00	\$27,000.00	67.50%
Intramural Costs				
USEPA - Direct (Region, HQ)	\$100,000.00	\$10,000.00	\$90,000.00	90.00%
Total Site Costs	\$840,000.00	\$375,000.00	\$465,000.00	55.36%

* The above accounting of expenditures is an estimate based on figures known to the OSC at the time this report was written. The OSC does not necessarily receive specific figures on final payments made to any contractor(s). Other financial data which the OSC must rely upon may not be entirely up-to-date. The cost accounting provided in this report does not necessarily represent an exact monetary figure which the government may include in any claim for cost recovery.